

Sire Summary of Ram Test Performance (1995-2012)

Research Center Technical Report 2012-2

Texas AgriLife Research - San Angelo
Texas A&M System

Summary

Performance data from the Texas A&M Ram Performance Tests from 1995 to 2012 were summarized by sire. The calculations used performance of all rams tested that had sire identified. This included 1701 rams from 327 different sires. In order to be included in the report, a sire must have had 4 or more sons with data, or have 3 sons with at least one of those sons on the current year's test. Evaluations based on 3 or fewer sons are less reliable. Substantial changes could occur in the rankings for rams with small numbers of sons if/when data from additional sons become available in future years. A mixed linear model was used to calculate the values. (For more details on how the numbers were calculated contact Dan Waldron).

Methods

The Texas A&M Central Ram Performance Test has been conducted annually to provide a tool for sheep breeders to use in selecting breeding stock. The test's purpose is to identify genetic differences in performance of rams evaluated in the same environment. The test can be considered either as a performance test, where the emphasis is on evaluating the rams on test, or as a progeny test, where the emphasis is on evaluating the sires of the rams on test. This report focuses on the progeny test aspect.

In calculating this summary, the following assumptions were made: 1) all sires were mated to similar ewes, and 2) all sires have a representative sample of sons on test. If a particular sire was mated to genetically superior ewes, his evaluation will be biased upward. No pedigree or performance information is collected on the ewes that

produced the tested rams. So, even though it is known that all ewes are not equal, we must assume that all sires were mated to similar ewes.

If a breeder tests only the best sons of Sire A and merely average sons of sire B, Sire A's evaluation will be biased upward. Of course, it is not feasible to test the entire lamb crop of each sire. Therefore, we assume that each sire group is a representative sample of sons.

Even though the information contained in this report has limitations, it is being presented so sheep breeders can make more informed selection decisions. If you need a ram whose daughters will produce finer fleeces, select from among the rams on test with fine fleeces and use these lists to select a ram from a sire that consistently produced finer-fleeced sons.

The ROM Index (shown on following page) was developed with the aim of combining growth rate, clean fleece weight, staple length, and fiber diameter into one measure of overall genetic merit. The ROM Index is recommended as a selection criterion. However, breeders may want to weight the traits differently from the ROM Index depending on their breeding objective.

This report was compiled by:

D.F. Waldron
Texas AgriLife Research
Texas A&M System
7887 US Highway 87 N
San Angelo, TX 76901

Notes about sire rankings

The sire ranking pages show how many sons contributed to each sire's estimate. The column marked 'EBV' shows the sire's Estimated Breeding Value. The EBVs are the expected performance based on the average performance from 1995-2000 at the Texas A&M Sonora Central Ram Performance Test. Performance of progeny will vary in different management programs. However, the differences between sires are not expected to vary in different environments. Therefore, if Sire A has a finer EBV for fiber diameter than Sire B, Sire A's daughters are expected to be finer than those of Sire B, even though they are managed on pasture and expected to be finer than their sires.

The ROM (Registry of Merit) Index was calculated as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
 I = & 60 \quad \times \quad [\text{average daily body weight gain in pounds}] \\
 & + 4 \quad \times \quad [365\text{-day staple length in inches}] \text{ (with no credit above 5.5 inches)} \\
 & + 4 \quad \times \quad [365\text{-day clean fleece weight in pounds}] \\
 & - 3 \quad \times \quad [\text{fiber diameter in microns } (\mu\text{m}) - 22.9] \text{ (no additional credit for} \\
 & \quad \quad \quad \text{being finer than 19.9 microns or coarser than 24.9 microns)} \\
 & + 1.25 \times [22.0 - \text{CV}] \text{ (with a maximum increase or decrease of 5 points)}
 \end{aligned}$$

The index calculation used the average fiber diameter and CV from a core sample. Because fleeces were not cored in 1997, sons tested in 1997 were not used for the rankings for fiber diameter (core), fiber variability (CV), and ROM index.

Breeder prefixes, names and towns.

Prefix	Breeder	Town
ASU	Angelo State University	San Angelo
B Faris	Brian Faris	Sonora
Bradford	Maurice Bradford	San Angelo
C&S Menzies	Carl & Shirley Menzies	San Angelo
Campbell	Fred Campbell	Paint Rock
Eckhoff	Eckhoff	Bend
Fincher	Ken Fincher	Water Valley
Gainer	Gainer Ranch	Menard
Hageman	Hageman Sisters	Wyoming
HCR	Hill Country Rambouillet	Sonora
JWR	JW Ranch	Menard
Landers	Landers Ranch	Menard
M Jernigan	Mike Jernigan	Iraan
MSC	Mortgaged Sheep Company	Eden
OFP	Our Father's Place	Ft. Stockton
P Rose	Pat Rose III	Brackettville
R-J	Richardson-Jernigan	Iraan
S Menzies	Scotty Menzies	Menard
Schunke	James Schunke	Goldthwaite
T.Jones	Travis Jones	Fredericksburg
TAES	Texas Agric. Experiment Station	Sonora and Barnhart
TRSG	Texas Rambouillet Superior Gen.	Mertzon
WB	Walking Bars	Garden City

Sires Ranked for ROM Index

* Designates sires with sons tested in 2012.

Rank	Sire	Sons	EBV	Rank	Sire	Sons	EBV
1	R-J 6140	30	134	65	TRSG 881	7	122
2	R-J 3188	71	132	66	TAES B2452	7	122
3	R-J 192	19	132	67	TRSG 1377	5	122
4	OFP 956	7	131	68	C&S MENZIES 2626	15	122
5	TAES 6483	5	130	69	C&S MENZIES 2720	5	122
6	OFP 656	10	129	70	TAES 7171	13	121
7	TAES 6090	11	129	71	M JERNIGAN 5650	5	121
8	TAES B3167	16	129	72	TRSG 298	25	121
9	P ROSE R3297	14	128	73	R-J 243	18	121
10	OFP 829	13	128	74	RABEL143 WYOMING	5	121
11	TAES B2863	7	128	75	P ROSE R3317	4	121
12	OFP 809	7	128	76	C&S MENZIES 3138	6	121
13	TAES 6808	12	128	77	T.JONES 1-83	11	121
14	TAES 8468	5 *	128	78	TAES 6648	6	121
15	C&S MENZIES 1325	11	128	79	TRSG 902	10	121
16	P ROSE R3763	7	127	80	CAMPBELL 4047	5	121
17	TAES 7610	9	127	81	TRSG 753	9	121
18	P ROSE R3557	17	127	82	TAES B2365	4	120
19	TAES B3252	22	127	83	R-J 2669	7	120
20	TAES 6099	12	127	84	TRSG 1008	7	120
21	M JERNIGAN 2367	15	127	85	TAES 6724	6	120
22	TAES B2888	25	127	86	TAES 5678	4	120
23	LANDERS J511	5	126	87	T. Jones 88	3 *	120
24	TAES 7570	38	126	88	TAES 5034	4	120
25	TRSG 773	20	126	89	P ROSE R3761	15	120
26	P ROSE R3865	10	126	90	TRSG 1223	5	120
27	TAES B2202	10	126	91	S MENZIES 866	7	120
28	P ROSE R4167	6	126	92	JENNINGS 77	4	120
29	TRSG 310	7	126	93	WB 2402	5	119
30	B FARIS 253	4	126	94	B FARIS 392	25	119
31	TAES 7824	5	126	95	CAMPBELL 5399	10	119
32	P ROSE R3645	8	125	96	TAES 7003	12	119
33	TAES 5501	8	125	97	SCHUNKE 1992	4	118
34	P ROSE R3653	7	125	98	SCHUNKE 1878	10	118
35	TAES 5795	11	125	99	B FARIS 242	5	118
36	TAES 6014	7	125	100	TRSG 953	8	118
37	M JERNIGAN 6968	12	125	101	C&S MENZIES 1833	14	118
38	C&S MENZIES 2464	27	125	102	CLARK 156	4	117
39	TAES B3403	12	125	103	MSC 1415	4	117
40	M JERNIGAN 3683	7	125	104	C&S MENZIES 3113	12	117
41	HAGEMAN 3051	6	125	105	JWR 557-978640	7	117
42	C&S MENZIES 2532	26	124	106	ASU 4084	7	117
43	W Fincher 142	5	124	107	WB 2365	4	117
44	TAES 7693	4	124	108	BRADFORD 0242	4	116
45	B FARIS 388	14	124	109	TLB	4	116
46	TAES 6880	6	124	110	Price 1245	5	116
47	TAES 8582	3 *	124	111	T.JONES 545	5	116
48	TAES 6439	6	124	112	ECKHOFF 0400	7	116
49	T.JONES 448	14	124	113	C&S MENZIES 2454	4	116
50	SCHUNKE 317	8	124	114	S MENZIES 865	12	116
51	TAES 7118	16	124	115	FINCHER 1407	9	115
52	TRSG 1610	4	124	116	R-758	5	115
53	HCR 622	48	123	117	C&S MENZIES 1771	10	115
54	TAES 8036	4	123	118	T.JONES 8-21	10	115
55	TAES 8606	3 *	123	119	HCR 634	12	114
56	P ROSE R4407	6	123	120	WB 2715	5	114
57	TAES 7363	14	123	121	HCR 711	6	113
58	TAES 8117	4	123	122	ECKHOFF 212	4	113
59	TAES 8287	24	123	123	BRADFORD 0009	4	113
60	B FARIS 325	11	123	124	S MENZIES 934	6	113
61	M JERNIGAN 5715	4	122	125	C&S MENZIES 2887	4	113
62	TAES 6574	4	122	126	WB 2233	4	113
63	GAINER 01119	9	122	127	HCR 504	4	112
64	TAES 6987	27	122				

Sire summary of Texas ram test performance (1995-2012)

Sires Ranked for 140-day ADG-body

* Designates sires with sons tested in 2012.

Rank	Sire	Sons	EBV	Rank	Sire	Sons	EBV
1	C&S MENZIES 2532	26	.879	65	TAES 6574	4	.809
2	R-J 5064	10	.849	66	TAES 7118	16	.809
3	B FARIS 388	14	.848	67	TAES 6090	11	.809
4	TAES 6014	7	.845	68	TAES 7570	38	.809
5	R-J 2669	7	.840	69	TAES 6987	27	.809
6	HCR 622	48	.836	70	TAES B2365	4	.808
7	TAES 6483	5	.836	71	ECKHOFF 212	4	.808
8	C&S MENZIES 1325	11	.836	72	TAES 8606	3 *	.807
9	R-J 192	19	.833	73	SCHUNKE 1878	10	.806
10	TRSG 310	7	.833	74	CAMPBELL 4047	5	.806
11	B FARIS 253	4	.832	75	TAES B3403	12	.805
12	TAES 6880	6	.832	76	TAES 7693	4	.804
13	P ROSE R4167	6	.832	77	TAES 7003	12	.803
14	SCHUNKE 317	8	.831	78	TAES 8117	4	.802
15	TRSG 1377	5	.831	79	P ROSE R3653	7	.802
16	C&S MENZIES 3138	6	.831	80	TAES 5678	4	.801
17	S MENZIES 865	12	.831	81	C&S MENZIES 2626	15	.801
18	RABEL143 WYOMING	5	.829	82	FINCHER 1407	9	.800
19	M JERNIGAN 3683	7	.829	83	TRSG 1008	7	.800
20	B FARIS 325	11	.829	84	OFP 829	13	.800
21	R-J 3188	71	.828	85	M JERNIGAN 2367	15	.799
22	OFP 809	7	.828	86	CLARK 156	4	.799
23	TAES B3252	22	.828	87	WB 2715	5	.798
24	TAES 5795	11	.828	88	R-J 6140	30	.797
25	TAES 7610	9	.827	89	TAES 5034	4	.796
26	TAES 6724	6	.827	90	HCR 504	4	.796
27	C&S MENZIES 2464	27	.827	91	M JERNIGAN 5650	5	.796
28	S MENZIES 866	7	.827	92	SCHUNKE 1992	4	.796
29	C&S MENZIES 3113	12	.826	93	WB 2233	4	.795
30	TAES 8582	3 *	.826	94	P ROSE R4407	6	.795
31	P ROSE R3317	4	.825	95	M JERNIGAN 6968	12	.795
32	T.JONES 1-83	11	.825	96	S MENZIES 934	6	.794
33	GAINER 01119	9	.824	97	C&S MENZIES 1833	14	.794
34	TAES 8468	5 *	.824	98	TRSG 1610	4	.794
35	TAES 6439	6	.824	99	M JERNIGAN 5715	4	.794
36	TAES 6099	12	.824	100	TRSG 902	10	.793
37	LANDERS J511	5	.823	101	P ROSE R3557	17	.793
38	TAES 8036	4	.822	102	TRSG 1223	5	.793
39	TRSG 298	25	.822	103	C&S MENZIES 2454	4	.793
40	P ROSE R3763	7	.820	104	OFP 656	10	.792
41	HAGEMAN 3051	6	.820	105	P ROSE R3761	15	.792
42	HCR 634	12	.819	106	TAES B2863	7	.791
43	S MENZIES 801	4	.819	107	TAES 5501	8	.790
44	JENNINGS 77	4	.819	108	TAES B2452	7	.788
45	C&S MENZIES 2720	5	.818	109	ASU 4084	7	.788
46	P ROSE R3645	8	.818	110	TRSG 953	8	.787
47	ERK 7170	4	.818	111	CAMPBELL 5399	10	.786
48	W Fincher 142	5	.818	112	BRADFORD 0242	4	.786
49	TRSG 881	7	.816	113	ECKHOFF 0400	7	.786
50	R-J 243	18	.816	114	TAES B2888	25	.785
51	T. Jones 88	3 *	.815	115	BRADFORD 0009	4	.782
52	TAES 7824	5	.815	116	P ROSE R3297	14	.781
53	WB 2402	5	.814	117	TAES 8287	24	.781
54	TAES B3167	16	.813	118	T.JONES 448	14	.780
55	B FARIS 242	5	.813	119	TAES 7363	14	.779
56	OFP 956	7	.812	120	TRSG 753	9	.775
57	TRSG 773	20	.811	121	MSC 1415	4	.775
58	TAES B2202	10	.811	122	TLB	4	.774
59	TAES 6808	12	.811	123	R-758	5	.772
60	B FARIS 392	25	.811	124	T.JONES 545	5	.771
61	WB 2365	4	.810	125	P ROSE R3865	10	.770
62	HCR 711	6	.810	126	T.JONES 8-21	10	.766
63	TAES 6648	6	.810	127	C&S MENZIES 2887	4	.764
64	JWR 557-978640	7	.810	128	TAES 7171	13	.762
				129	Price 1245	5	.756
				130	C&S MENZIES 1771	10	.754

Sire summary of Texas ram test performance (1995-2012)

Sires Ranked for Staple Length

* Designates sires with sons tested in 2012.

Rank	Sire	Sons	EBV	Rank	Sire	Sons	EBV
1	P ROSE R3865	10	5.68	65	WB 2402	5	5.12
2	P ROSE R3297	14	5.63	66	GAINER 01119	9	5.12
3	R-J 6140	30	5.54	67	M JERNIGAN 3683	7	5.12
4	P ROSE R3557	17	5.52	68	T. Jones 88	3 *	5.11
5	P ROSE R3653	7	5.49	69	T.JONES 1-83	11	5.11
6	R-J 192	19	5.49	70	TRSG 773	20	5.11
7	R-J 3188	71	5.45	71	P ROSE R3317	4	5.11
8	M JERNIGAN 6968	12	5.44	72	TRSG 1223	5	5.11
9	OFP 956	7	5.42	73	TRSG 881	7	5.10
10	P ROSE R3761	15	5.41	74	Price 1245	5	5.09
11	TAES B2863	7	5.41	75	C&S MENZIES 2454	4	5.09
12	TAES B3403	12	5.41	76	TAES 6987	27	5.09
13	P ROSE R4407	6	5.39	77	TAES 6014	7	5.08
14	OFP 809	7	5.39	78	ASU 4084	7	5.08
15	TAES B2888	25	5.39	79	S MENZIES 801	4	5.08
16	TRSG 902	10	5.38	80	TAES 8036	4	5.08
17	TAES B3252	22	5.36	81	HCR 622	48	5.08
18	TRSG 1610	4	5.35	82	C&S MENZIES 2626	15	5.08
19	TAES 7363	14	5.34	83	MSC 1415	4	5.07
20	TAES B3167	16	5.33	84	TAES B2202	10	5.06
21	M JERNIGAN 2367	15	5.32	85	CLARK 156	4	5.06
22	TAES 6648	6	5.32	86	C&S MENZIES 1833	14	5.06
23	TAES 7610	9	5.31	87	C&S MENZIES 2720	5	5.06
24	OFP 656	10	5.31	88	JENNINGS 77	4	5.06
25	OFP 829	13	5.30	89	B FARIS 392	25	5.05
26	TAES B2452	7	5.29	90	SCHUNKE 1878	10	5.05
27	TAES 6099	12	5.29	91	HAGEMAN 3051	6	5.04
28	TAES 5501	8	5.29	92	TAES 6439	6	5.04
29	TAES 7693	4	5.29	93	ECKHOFF 0400	7	5.04
30	LANDERS J511	5	5.27	94	T.JONES 448	14	5.04
31	P ROSE R3763	7	5.27	95	TAES 5034	4	5.03
32	TAES 6090	11	5.27	96	C&S MENZIES 1771	10	5.03
33	P ROSE R4167	6	5.27	97	TRSG 953	8	5.02
34	R-758	5	5.27	98	TAES 6880	6	5.02
35	TAES 8287	24	5.27	99	JWR 557-978640	7	5.01
36	R-J 5064	10	5.26	100	TAES 7171	13	5.01
37	TAES 7570	38	5.26	101	TAES 6724	6	5.00
38	CAMPBELL 5399	10	5.25	102	S MENZIES 866	7	5.00
39	TAES 8468	5 *	5.25	103	T.JONES 545	5	4.99
40	TRSG 1377	5	5.21	104	T.JONES 8-21	10	4.99
41	B FARIS 253	4	5.20	105	BRADFORD 0242	4	4.99
42	TAES 6483	5	5.20	106	BRADFORD 0009	4	4.99
43	TAES B2365	4	5.19	107	TAES 5795	11	4.98
44	M JERNIGAN 5715	4	5.19	108	TAES 8606	3 *	4.97
45	R-J 2669	7	5.19	109	TAES 5678	4	4.96
46	SCHUNKE 1992	4	5.18	110	TRSG 298	25	4.96
47	M JERNIGAN 5650	5	5.18	111	WB 2365	4	4.96
48	TAES 6808	12	5.18	112	C&S MENZIES 1325	11	4.96
49	TRSG 753	9	5.17	113	B FARIS 325	11	4.96
50	TAES 7824	5	5.17	114	HCR 634	12	4.94
51	CAMPBELL 4047	5	5.17	115	C&S MENZIES 2887	4	4.94
52	P ROSE R3645	8	5.17	116	S MENZIES 865	12	4.94
53	W Fincher 142	5	5.16	117	C&S MENZIES 3138	6	4.93
54	TLB	4	5.16	118	RABEL143 WYOMING	5	4.93
55	TRSG 1008	7	5.16	119	C&S MENZIES 2464	27	4.92
56	TAES 8117	4	5.15	120	TAES 7003	12	4.92
57	R-J 243	18	5.15	121	ERK 7170	4	4.90
58	B FARIS 242	5	5.15	122	C&S MENZIES 2532	26	4.89
59	TRSG 310	7	5.15	123	S MENZIES 934	6	4.88
60	TAES 8582	3 *	5.14	124	ECKHOFF 212	4	4.88
61	SCHUNKE 317	8	5.13	125	WB 2233	4	4.84
62	TAES 6574	4	5.13	126	HCR 711	6	4.84
63	B FARIS 388	14	5.12	127	C&S MENZIES 3113	12	4.84
64	TAES 7118	16	5.12	128	WB 2715	5	4.83
				129	HCR 504	4	4.81
				130	FINCHER 1407	9	4.79

Sire summary of Texas ram test performance (1995-2012)

Sires Ranked for Clean Fleece Wt

* Designates sires with sons tested in 2012.

Rank	Sire	Sons	EBV	Rank	Sire	Sons	EBV
1	R-J 6140	30	14.9	65	P ROSE R3317	4	12.0
2	R-J 3188	71	14.4	66	C&S MENZIES 1833	14	12.0
3	R-J 192	19	13.9	67	SCHUNKE 317	8	12.0
4	OFP 956	7	13.6	68	CAMPBELL 5399	10	12.0
5	OFP 656	10	13.6	69	TRSG 1223	5	11.9
6	R-J 5064	10	13.5	70	R-758	5	11.9
7	TAES B2863	7	13.4	71	GAINER 01119	9	11.9
8	OFP 829	13	13.3	72	TAES 6439	6	11.9
9	M JERNIGAN 2367	15	13.2	73	TAES 6648	6	11.9
10	M JERNIGAN 6968	12	13.1	74	TRSG 1008	7	11.9
11	TAES 6483	5	12.9	75	T.JONES 545	5	11.9
12	TRSG 773	20	12.9	76	TAES 8117	4	11.9
13	P ROSE R3763	7	12.9	77	TAES 8287	24	11.9
14	P ROSE R3297	14	12.9	78	SCHUNKE 1992	4	11.9
15	P ROSE R4167	6	12.8	79	TAES B2452	7	11.9
16	TAES 7570	38	12.8	80	HCR 622	48	11.9
17	P ROSE R3865	10	12.7	81	C&S MENZIES 2464	27	11.9
18	LANDERS J511	5	12.7	82	P ROSE R3761	15	11.8
19	TAES 6808	12	12.7	83	ERK 7170	4	11.8
20	P ROSE R3653	7	12.7	84	TAES 6574	4	11.8
21	TAES B2202	10	12.7	85	S MENZIES 801	4	11.8
22	TAES B3167	16	12.7	86	R-J 243	18	11.8
23	TAES B2888	25	12.7	87	TAES 5678	4	11.7
24	TAES 5501	8	12.7	88	C&S MENZIES 2532	26	11.7
25	TAES 7693	4	12.6	89	TAES 8036	4	11.7
26	TAES 6099	12	12.6	90	CAMPBELL 4047	5	11.7
27	TAES 8468	5 *	12.6	91	TRSG 298	25	11.7
28	P ROSE R3557	17	12.6	92	S MENZIES 866	7	11.7
29	TRSG 310	7	12.5	93	TAES 5795	11	11.7
30	TAES 7610	9	12.5	94	C&S MENZIES 1771	10	11.6
31	TAES 7363	14	12.5	95	TAES 6014	7	11.6
32	TRSG 1610	4	12.4	96	TAES B2365	4	11.6
33	OFP 809	7	12.4	97	C&S MENZIES 2454	4	11.6
34	M JERNIGAN 5715	4	12.4	98	TAES 6987	27	11.6
35	TAES 6090	11	12.4	99	RABEL143 WYOMING	5	11.5
36	TAES 7118	16	12.4	100	SCHUNKE 1878	10	11.5
37	W Fincher 142	5	12.4	101	T. Jones 88	3 *	11.5
38	C&S MENZIES 1325	11	12.3	102	B FARIS 325	11	11.5
39	TAES 6880	6	12.3	103	TAES 5034	4	11.5
40	M JERNIGAN 3683	7	12.3	104	B FARIS 392	25	11.5
41	M JERNIGAN 5650	5	12.3	105	BRADFORD 0242	4	11.5
42	Price 1245	5	12.2	106	WB 2402	5	11.4
43	TRSG 902	10	12.2	107	ASU 4084	7	11.4
44	C&S MENZIES 2720	5	12.2	108	C&S MENZIES 3138	6	11.4
45	T.JONES 448	14	12.2	109	TAES 7003	12	11.3
46	TAES 7824	5	12.2	110	JENNINGS 77	4	11.3
47	TAES B3252	22	12.2	111	JWR 557-978640	7	11.3
48	TAES 7171	13	12.2	112	ECKHOFF 0400	7	11.3
49	B FARIS 253	4	12.2	113	WB 2715	5	11.3
50	R-J 2669	7	12.2	114	B FARIS 242	5	11.3
51	TAES 8606	3 *	12.2	115	CLARK 156	4	11.2
52	TRSG 881	7	12.2	116	ECKHOFF 212	4	11.2
53	P ROSE R3645	8	12.2	117	FINCHER 1407	9	11.2
54	TRSG 753	9	12.1	118	C&S MENZIES 2887	4	11.2
55	C&S MENZIES 2626	15	12.1	119	WB 2365	4	11.2
56	TRSG 953	8	12.1	120	T.JONES 1-83	11	11.1
57	TAES 8582	3 *	12.1	121	BRADFORD 0009	4	11.1
58	TAES 6724	6	12.1	122	TLB	4	11.1
59	P ROSE R4407	6	12.1	123	T.JONES 8-21	10	11.0
60	HAGEMAN 3051	6	12.0	124	HCR 504	4	10.9
61	B FARIS 388	14	12.0	125	WB 2233	4	10.8
62	TRSG 1377	5	12.0	126	C&S MENZIES 3113	12	10.8
63	TAES B3403	12	12.0	127	S MENZIES 865	12	10.7
64	MSC 1415	4	12.0	128	HCR 711	6	10.5
				129	S MENZIES 934	6	10.5
				130	HCR 634	12	10.3

Sire summary of Texas ram test performance (1995-2012)

Sires Ranked for Fiber Diam. (core)

* Designates sires with sons tested in 2012.

Rank	Sire	Sons	EBV	Rank	Sire	Sons	EBV
1	TAES 8287	24	20.9	64	W Fincher 142	5	21.9
2	TAES 8117	4	21.1	65	TRSG 753	9	21.9
3	C&S MENZIES 2464	27	21.1	66	C&S MENZIES 2532	26	22.0
4	TAES 6014	7	21.1	67	B FARIS 242	5	22.0
5	TAES 6090	11	21.2	68	P ROSE R3761	15	22.0
6	TAES 6808	12	21.3	69	TAES 7693	4	22.0
7	TAES B3167	16	21.3	70	JWR 557-978640	7	22.0
8	P ROSE R3865	10	21.3	71	OPF 656	10	22.0
9	P ROSE R3653	7	21.3	72	C&S MENZIES 1771	10	22.0
10	TAES B3252	22	21.3	73	P ROSE R3763	7	22.0
11	TAES 5795	11	21.3	74	S MENZIES 934	6	22.0
12	P ROSE R3297	14	21.3	75	SCHUNKE 317	8	22.0
13	P ROSE R3557	17	21.3	76	TRSG 1008	7	22.0
14	TAES 6987	27	21.3	77	HCR 504	4	22.1
15	TAES B3403	12	21.4	78	TAES 7118	16	22.1
16	TAES 7824	5	21.4	79	T.JONES 8-21	10	22.1
17	TAES 8036	4	21.4	80	TRSG 1223	5	22.1
18	T.JONES 1-83	11	21.4	81	JENNINGS 77	4	22.1
19	TAES 6574	4	21.4	82	T.JONES 545	5	22.1
20	T.JONES 448	14	21.5	83	TRSG 773	20	22.1
21	TAES 7610	9	21.5	84	TAES B2863	7	22.1
22	OPF 809	7	21.5	85	B FARIS 388	14	22.1
23	P ROSE R4407	6	21.5	86	CAMPBELL 4047	5	22.1
24	TAES 8468	5 *	21.5	87	B FARIS 392	25	22.1
25	TAES B2888	25	21.5	88	TRSG 881	7	22.2
26	TAES 5034	4	21.5	89	TRSG 1610	4	22.2
27	TAES B2452	7	21.5	90	R-J 3188	71	22.2
28	TAES 6099	12	21.5	91	ASU 4084	7	22.2
29	P ROSE R3645	8	21.6	92	R-J 243	18	22.2
30	TLB	4	21.6	93	TRSG 298	25	22.2
31	TAES 6439	6	21.6	94	M JERNIGAN 2367	15	22.2
32	RABEL143 WYOMING	5	21.6	95	M JERNIGAN 5715	4	22.2
33	CLARK 156	4	21.7	96	CAMPBELL 5399	10	22.2
34	TAES 6880	6	21.7	97	LANDERS J511	5	22.3
35	C&S MENZIES 2887	4	21.7	98	BRADFORD 0242	4	22.3
36	C&S MENZIES 3138	6	21.7	99	TRSG 310	7	22.3
37	TAES 8606	3 *	21.7	100	SCHUNKE 1878	10	22.3
38	WB 2402	5	21.7	101	HCR 622	48	22.3
39	TAES 7171	13	21.7	102	TRSG 1377	5	22.3
40	HCR 711	6	21.7	103	M JERNIGAN 5650	5	22.3
41	C&S MENZIES 1325	11	21.7	104	GAINER 01119	9	22.3
42	TAES 5501	8	21.7	105	C&S MENZIES 2454	4	22.3
43	TAES 6483	5	21.7	106	WB 2233	4	22.3
44	TAES 7363	14	21.7	107	WB 2365	4	22.4
45	B FARIS 253	4	21.8	108	S MENZIES 865	12	22.4
46	OPF 829	13	21.8	109	TRSG 953	8	22.4
47	FINCHER 1407	9	21.8	110	S MENZIES 866	7	22.4
48	TAES 7570	38	21.8	111	SCHUNKE 1992	4	22.5
49	OPF 956	7	21.8	112	R-758	5	22.5
50	TAES 7003	12	21.8	113	M JERNIGAN 6968	12	22.5
51	T. Jones 88	3 *	21.8	114	P ROSE R3317	4	22.5
52	HAGEMAN 3051	6	21.8	115	MSC 1415	4	22.5
53	TAES B2365	4	21.8	116	BRADFORD 0009	4	22.6
54	B FARIS 325	11	21.8	117	R-J 192	19	22.6
55	TAES 8582	3 *	21.9	118	WB 2715	5	22.6
56	TAES 5678	4	21.9	119	C&S MENZIES 2720	5	22.6
57	TRSG 902	10	21.9	120	ECKHOFF 0400	7	22.6
58	C&S MENZIES 2626	15	21.9	121	TAES 6724	6	22.7
59	HCR 634	12	21.9	122	R-J 6140	30	22.7
60	M JERNIGAN 3683	7	21.9	123	P ROSE R4167	6	22.7
61	TAES B2202	10	21.9	124	C&S MENZIES 1833	14	22.7
62	C&S MENZIES 3113	12	21.9	125	Price 1245	5	22.7
63	TAES 6648	6	21.9	126	R-J 2669	7	22.7
				127	ECKHOFF 212	4	22.9

Sire summary of Texas ram test performance (1995-2012)

Sires Ranked for Fiber Variability (CV)

* Designates sires with sons tested in 2012.

Rank	Sire	Sons	EBV	Rank	Sire	Sons	EBV
1	T.JONES 448	14	19.1	64	TAES 8117	4	20.3
2	P ROSE R3865	10	19.1	65	TAES B2202	10	20.3
3	TAES B2888	25	19.2	66	TAES 7003	12	20.3
4	TRSG 1610	4	19.3	67	TAES 7693	4	20.3
5	TAES 7171	13	19.3	68	WB 2233	4	20.3
6	HCR 622	48	19.3	69	TAES 8582	3 *	20.3
7	T.JONES 8-21	10	19.4	70	TAES 6987	27	20.4
8	TAES B2863	7	19.6	71	GAINER 01119	9	20.4
9	TAES B3403	12	19.6	72	R-J 243	18	20.4
10	R-J 6140	30	19.6	73	C&S MENZIES 2626	15	20.4
11	R-J 3188	71	19.6	74	B FARIS 388	14	20.4
12	M JERNIGAN 2367	15	19.6	75	SCHUNKE 317	8	20.4
13	C&S MENZIES 1325	11	19.6	76	Price 1245	5	20.4
14	R-J 192	19	19.6	77	P ROSE R4167	6	20.4
15	HAGEMAN 3051	6	19.7	78	C&S MENZIES 3138	6	20.4
16	TAES 5795	11	19.7	79	SCHUNKE 1992	4	20.4
17	OFF 956	7	19.7	80	S MENZIES 866	7	20.4
18	TRSG 298	25	19.7	81	C&S MENZIES 2720	5	20.4
19	TAES B2452	7	19.8	82	TAES 6808	12	20.4
20	C&S MENZIES 2464	27	19.8	83	C&S MENZIES 3113	12	20.4
21	TAES 6439	6	19.8	84	B FARIS 253	4	20.4
22	LANDERS J511	5	19.8	85	CAMPBELL 5399	10	20.4
23	TAES 6090	11	19.9	86	P ROSE R3557	17	20.4
24	TRSG 773	20	19.9	87	TRSG 1008	7	20.4
25	TAES 5034	4	19.9	88	TAES B2365	4	20.4
26	M JERNIGAN 5715	4	19.9	89	W Fincher 142	5	20.4
27	OFF 656	10	19.9	90	S MENZIES 934	6	20.5
28	TAES 5501	8	19.9	91	T. Jones 88	3 *	20.5
29	B FARIS 325	11	20.0	92	TAES 8606	3 *	20.5
30	OFF 809	7	20.0	93	TAES 7363	14	20.5
31	TLB	4	20.0	94	CLARK 156	4	20.5
32	MSC 1415	4	20.0	95	S MENZIES 865	12	20.5
33	TRSG 753	9	20.0	96	TAES 8036	4	20.5
34	TAES B3167	16	20.0	97	P ROSE R3761	15	20.6
35	B FARIS 392	25	20.0	98	TAES 6574	4	20.6
36	P ROSE R3297	14	20.1	99	P ROSE R3317	4	20.6
37	ECKHOFF 0400	7	20.1	100	TAES 6724	6	20.6
38	M JERNIGAN 5650	5	20.1	101	C&S MENZIES 1833	14	20.6
39	BRADFORD 0242	4	20.1	102	T.JONES 1-83	11	20.6
40	JENNINGS 77	4	20.1	103	WB 2715	5	20.6
41	M JERNIGAN 6968	12	20.1	104	TRSG 881	7	20.7
42	CAMPBELL 4047	5	20.1	105	ECKHOFF 212	4	20.7
43	TRSG 1223	5	20.1	106	P ROSE R3653	7	20.7
44	M JERNIGAN 3683	7	20.1	107	P ROSE R3763	7	20.7
45	TAES B3252	22	20.1	108	RABEL143 WYOMING	5	20.8
46	TAES 7824	5	20.1	109	B FARIS 242	5	20.8
47	P ROSE R3645	8	20.1	110	WB 2402	5	20.8
48	TAES 7118	16	20.1	111	TRSG 953	8	20.9
49	TAES 6483	5	20.2	112	C&S MENZIES 2532	26	20.9
50	OFF 829	13	20.2	113	TAES 5678	4	20.9
51	ASU 4084	7	20.2	114	JWR 557-978640	7	20.9
52	TAES 7570	38	20.2	115	TAES 6648	6	21.0
53	TAES 8287	24	20.2	116	R-J 2669	7	21.0
54	BRADFORD 0009	4	20.2	117	TRSG 1377	5	21.1
55	C&S MENZIES 1771	10	20.2	118	TRSG 902	10	21.1
56	SCHUNKE 1878	10	20.2	119	HCR 634	12	21.2
57	TAES 7610	9	20.2	120	FINCHER 1407	9	21.2
58	TRSG 310	7	20.2	121	C&S MENZIES 2454	4	21.2
59	WB 2365	4	20.2	122	HCR 711	6	21.2
60	TAES 8468	5 *	20.3	123	HCR 504	4	21.2
61	TAES 6014	7	20.3	124	TAES 6880	6	21.2
62	P ROSE R4407	6	20.3	125	C&S MENZIES 2887	4	21.3
63	TAES 6099	12	20.3	126	T.JONES 545	5	21.4
				127	R-758	5	21.5

Sire summary of Texas ram test performance (1995-2012)

Sire Summary of Ram Test Performance (1995-2012)

Alphabetical listing of sires

The following pages list all sires with 4 or more tested sons. Sires are listed in alphabetical order by the breeder prefix. The breeding values are expressed as a deviation from a base. The base was the average performance on test from 1995 to 2000. Therefore, animals with a value of zero are average for this group of rams. A value of .5 in the fleece weight column indicates that this ram is .5 lbs better than the base for clean fleece weight. A value of -.5 in the fleece weight column indicates that he is .5 lbs below the base. The values can be used to make comparisons of different sires. As an example, consider TAES 6090 shown below. He had 11 tested sons. His breeding value for the ROM index is 7.7, indicating that he is 7.7 points better than the base. Immediately below the 7.7 is his rank (7) for ROM index value among all rams with 4 or more tested sons. His ADG value is .002 which indicates that he was slightly above average. His rank is 67, which is near the middle of the 130 rams in the list. A breeding value and rank are shown for each of the 6 traits. TAES 6090 is a very fine ram (rank of 5), but he is near average for growth rate. Sons of this ram would be of interest to ram buyers that want to improve their wool quality in a flock that already has a desirable growth rate. If a producer wants to improve growth rate in a flock, rams with a high breeding value for ADG should be considered.

Sire	Sons	ROM Index	ADG	Staple	Fleece Weight	Fiber Diameter	Fiber CV
TAES 6090	11	7.7	0.002	0.17	0.50	-0.77	-0.43
TAES 6090	Rank ->	7	67	32	35	5	23

Several rams have a blank value for ROM index, Fiber Diameter and Fiber CV. These rams had sons tested in 1997 only and in 1997 a core sample from the final fleece was not obtained. Fiber diameter was only determined from the side and britch samples in 1997. A core sample is not directly comparable to a side sample in this situation. So the wool quality measures of rams that had sons tested only in 1997 are not directly comparable to the rest of the rams. Because the wool quality measures are a part of the ROM index calculations, the ROM index values for these rams were also excluded.

Base values :

ROM Index	121.0
ADG	.807 lb/d
Staple Length	5.1 inches
Clean Fleece Wt	11.9 lbs
Fiber Diameter	22.0 microns
Fiber CV	20.3

Texas A&M Sire Summary 1995-2012

Sire	Sons	ROM Index	ADG	Staple	Fleece Weight	Fiber Diameter	Fiber CV
ASU 4084	7	-4.1	-.019	-0.02	-0.50	0.19	-0.10
ASU 4084	Rank ->106		109	78	107	91	51
B FARIS 242	5	-2.7	0.006	0.05	-0.63	-0.03	0.53
B FARIS 242	Rank -> 99		55	58	114	67	109
B FARIS 253	4	4.6	0.025	0.10	0.28	-0.24	0.13
B FARIS 253	Rank -> 30		11	41	49	45	84
B FARIS 325	11	1.6	0.022	-0.14	-0.41	-0.17	-0.30
B FARIS 325	Rank -> 60		20	113	102	54	29
B FARIS 388	14	3.4	0.041	0.02	0.12	0.13	0.07
B FARIS 388	Rank -> 45		3	63	61	85	74
B FARIS 392	25	-1.7	0.004	-0.05	-0.44	0.14	-0.26
B FARIS 392	Rank -> 94		60	89	104	87	35
BRADFORD 0009	4	-7.8	-.025	-0.11	-0.82	0.55	-0.08
BRADFORD 0009	Rank ->123		115	106	121	116	54
BRADFORD 0242	4	-4.6	-.021	-0.11	-0.45	0.26	-0.22
BRADFORD 0242	Rank ->108		112	105	105	98	39
C&S MENZIES 1325	11	6.6	0.029	-0.14	0.42	-0.27	-0.67
C&S MENZIES 1325	Rank -> 15		8	112	38	41	13
C&S MENZIES 1771	10	-6.2	-.053	-0.07	-0.32	-0.02	-0.08
C&S MENZIES 1771	Rank ->117		130	96	94	72	55
C&S MENZIES 1833	14	-3.0	-.013	-0.04	0.09	0.69	0.33
C&S MENZIES 1833	Rank ->101		97	86	66	124	101
C&S MENZIES 2454	4	-5.4	-.014	-0.01	-0.34	0.34	0.86
C&S MENZIES 2454	Rank ->113		103	75	97	105	121
C&S MENZIES 2464	27	4.1	0.020	-0.18	-0.06	-0.86	-0.50
C&S MENZIES 2464	Rank -> 38		27	119	81	3	20
C&S MENZIES 2532	26	3.5	0.072	-0.21	-0.18	-0.05	0.59
C&S MENZIES 2532	Rank -> 42		1	122	88	66	112
C&S MENZIES 2626	15	0.9	-.006	-0.02	0.22	-0.11	0.06
C&S MENZIES 2626	Rank -> 68		81	82	55	58	73
C&S MENZIES 2720	5	0.5	0.011	-0.04	0.32	0.63	0.10
C&S MENZIES 2720	Rank -> 69		45	87	44	119	81
C&S MENZIES 2887	4	-8.4	-.043	-0.16	-0.72	-0.30	1.00
C&S MENZIES 2887	Rank ->125		127	115	118	35	125
C&S MENZIES 3113	12	-3.8	0.019	-0.26	-1.12	-0.07	0.12
C&S MENZIES 3113	Rank ->104		29	127	126	62	83
C&S MENZIES 3138	6	0.2	0.024	-0.17	-0.53	-0.30	0.08
C&S MENZIES 3138	Rank -> 76		16	117	108	36	78
CAMPBELL 4047	5	-0.4	-.001	0.07	-0.19	0.14	-0.20
CAMPBELL 4047	Rank -> 80		74	51	90	86	42
CAMPBELL 5399	10	-1.8	-.021	0.15	0.05	0.24	0.13
CAMPBELL 5399	Rank -> 95		111	38	68	96	85
CLARK 156	4	-3.7	-.008	-0.04	-0.68	-0.34	0.21
CLARK 156	Rank ->102		86	85	115	33	94
ECKHOFF 0400	7	-5.3	-.021	-0.06	-0.62	0.64	-0.22
ECKHOFF 0400	Rank ->112		113	93	112	120	37
ECKHOFF 212	4	-7.7	0.001	-0.22	-0.70	0.89	0.37
ECKHOFF 212	Rank ->122		71	124	116	127	105
ERK 7170	4	.	0.011	-0.20	-0.09	.	.
ERK 7170	Rank -> .		47	121	83	.	.

Sires with * following the number of sons, have sons on test this year.
 Each trait shows the EBV, the rank among rams with 4 or more sons is shown below.

Sire summary of Texas ram test performance (1995-2012)

Texas A&M Sire Summary 1995-2012

Sire	Sons	ROM Index	ADG	Staple	Fleece Weight	Fiber Diameter	Fiber CV
FINCHER 1407	9	-5.6	-.007	-0.31	-0.70	-0.21	0.86
FINCHER 1407	Rank ->115		82	130	117	47	120
GAINER 01119	9	1.2	0.017	0.02	0.02	0.33	0.06
GAINER 01119	Rank -> 63		33	66	71	104	71
HAGEMAN 3051	6	3.5	0.013	-0.06	0.12	-0.18	-0.63
HAGEMAN 3051	Rank -> 41		41	91	60	52	15
HCR 504	4	-8.9	-.011	-0.29	-1.03	0.06	0.88
HCR 504	Rank ->127		90	129	124	77	123
HCR 622	48	2.5	0.029	-0.02	-0.05	0.30	-0.98
HCR 622	Rank -> 53		6	81	80	101	6
HCR 634	12	-6.7	0.012	-0.16	-1.63	-0.11	0.85
HCR 634	Rank ->119		42	114	130	59	119
HCR 711	6	-7.6	0.003	-0.26	-1.43	-0.27	0.87
HCR 711	Rank ->121		62	126	128	40	122
JENNINGS 77	4	-1.4	0.012	-0.04	-0.60	0.09	-0.22
JENNINGS 77	Rank -> 92		44	88	110	81	40
JWR 557-978640	7	-4.0	0.003	-0.09	-0.61	-0.03	0.63
JWR 557-978640	Rank ->105		64	99	111	70	114
LANDERS J511	5	5.5	0.016	0.17	0.83	0.25	-0.49
LANDERS J511	Rank -> 23		37	30	18	97	22
M JERNIGAN 2367	15	5.8	-.008	0.22	1.29	0.23	-0.68
M JERNIGAN 2367	Rank -> 21		85	21	9	94	12
M JERNIGAN 3683	7	4.0	0.022	0.02	0.38	-0.08	-0.20
M JERNIGAN 3683	Rank -> 40		19	67	40	60	44
M JERNIGAN 5650	5	0.4	-.011	0.08	0.36	0.32	-0.22
M JERNIGAN 5650	Rank -> 71		91	47	41	103	38
M JERNIGAN 5715	4	1.4	-.013	0.09	0.52	0.23	-0.39
M JERNIGAN 5715	Rank -> 61		99	44	34	95	26
M JERNIGAN 6968	12	4.1	-.012	0.34	1.19	0.47	-0.21
M JERNIGAN 6968	Rank -> 37		95	8	10	113	41
MSC 1415	4	-3.7	-.032	-0.03	0.11	0.53	-0.27
MSC 1415	Rank ->103		121	83	64	115	32
OFP 656	10	7.9	-.015	0.21	1.67	-0.03	-0.38
OFP 656	Rank -> 6		104	24	5	71	27
OFP 809	7	6.9	0.021	0.29	0.52	-0.51	-0.28
OFP 809	Rank -> 12		22	14	33	22	30
OFP 829	13	7.2	-.007	0.20	1.35	-0.22	-0.14
OFP 829	Rank -> 10		84	25	8	46	50
OFP 956	7	10.5	0.005	0.32	1.69	-0.20	-0.58
OFP 956	Rank -> 4		56	9	4	49	17
P ROSE R3297	14	7.3	-.026	0.53	0.99	-0.70	-0.24
P ROSE R3297	Rank -> 9		116	2	14	12	36
P ROSE R3317	4	0.2	0.018	0.01	0.10	0.53	0.31
P ROSE R3317	Rank -> 75		31	71	65	114	99
P ROSE R3557	17	6.1	-.014	0.42	0.71	-0.68	0.13
P ROSE R3557	Rank -> 18		101	4	28	13	86
P ROSE R3645	8	4.4	0.011	0.07	0.24	-0.44	-0.17
P ROSE R3645	Rank -> 32		46	52	53	29	47
P ROSE R3653	7	4.3	-.005	0.39	0.79	-0.71	0.38
P ROSE R3653	Rank -> 34		79	5	20	9	106

Sires with * following the number of sons, have sons on test this year.
 Each trait shows the EBV, the rank among rams with 4 or more sons is shown below.

Sire summary of Texas ram test performance (1995-2012)

Texas A&M Sire Summary 1995-2012

Sire	Sons	ROM Index	ADG	Staple	Fleece Weight	Fiber Diameter	Fiber CV
P ROSE R3761	15	-0.9	-.015	0.31	-0.06	-0.03	0.28
P ROSE R3761	Rank ->	89	105	10	82	68	97
P ROSE R3763	7	6.3	0.013	0.17	1.00	-0.02	0.41
P ROSE R3763	Rank ->	16	40	31	13	73	107
P ROSE R3865	10	5.0	-.037	0.58	0.84	-0.72	-1.16
P ROSE R3865	Rank ->	26	125	1	17	8	2
P ROSE R4167	6	4.9	0.025	0.17	0.93	0.69	0.07
P ROSE R4167	Rank ->	28	13	33	15	123	77
P ROSE R4407	6	1.9	-.012	0.29	0.15	-0.49	-0.03
P ROSE R4407	Rank ->	56	94	13	59	23	62
Price 1245	5	-5.2	-.051	-0.01	0.34	0.73	0.07
Price 1245	Rank ->	110	129	74	42	125	76
R-758	5	-5.8	-.035	0.17	0.02	0.46	1.16
R-758	Rank ->	116	123	34	70	112	127
R-J 192	19	11.0	0.026	0.39	2.02	0.56	-0.65
R-J 192	Rank ->	3	9	6	3	117	14
R-J 243	18	0.3	0.009	0.05	-0.15	0.22	0.06
R-J 243	Rank ->	73	50	57	86	92	72
R-J 2669	7	-0.5	0.033	0.09	0.28	0.74	0.74
R-J 2669	Rank ->	83	5	45	50	126	116
R-J 3188	71	11.2	0.021	0.35	2.44	0.17	-0.69
R-J 3188	Rank ->	2	21	7	2	90	11
R-J 5064	10	.	0.042	0.16	1.63	.	.
R-J 5064	Rank ->	.	2	36	6	.	.
R-J 6140	30	12.5	-.010	0.44	2.96	0.67	-0.69
R-J 6140	Rank ->	1	88	3	1	122	10
RABEL143 WYOMING	5	0.3	0.022	-0.17	-0.39	-0.39	0.51
RABEL143 WYOMING	Rank ->	74	18	118	99	32	108
S MENZIES 801	4	.	0.012	-0.02	-0.12	.	.
S MENZIES 801	Rank ->	.	43	79	85	.	.
S MENZIES 865	12	-5.5	0.024	-0.16	-1.23	0.38	0.21
S MENZIES 865	Rank ->	114	17	116	127	108	95
S MENZIES 866	7	-1.3	0.020	-0.10	-0.22	0.44	0.10
S MENZIES 866	Rank ->	91	28	102	92	110	80
S MENZIES 934	6	-7.9	-.013	-0.22	-1.44	-0.01	0.17
S MENZIES 934	Rank ->	124	96	123	129	74	90
SCHUNKE 1878	10	-2.7	-.001	-0.05	-0.41	0.28	-0.07
SCHUNKE 1878	Rank ->	98	73	90	100	100	56
SCHUNKE 1992	4	-2.5	-.011	0.08	-0.03	0.45	0.10
SCHUNKE 1992	Rank ->	97	92	46	78	111	79
SCHUNKE 317	8	2.9	0.024	0.03	0.08	0.04	0.07
SCHUNKE 317	Rank ->	50	14	61	67	75	75
T. Jones 88	3*	-0.8	0.008	0.01	-0.41	-0.19	0.18
T. Jones 88	Rank ->	87	51	68	101	51	91
T.JONES 1-83	11	0.1	0.018	0.01	-0.80	-0.60	0.34
T.JONES 1-83	Rank ->	77	32	69	120	18	102
T.JONES 448	14	2.9	-.027	-0.06	0.32	-0.55	-1.22
T.JONES 448	Rank ->	49	118	94	45	20	1
T.JONES 545	5	-5.2	-.036	-0.11	-0.01	0.12	1.05
T.JONES 545	Rank ->	111	124	103	75	82	126

Sires with * following the number of sons, have sons on test this year.
 Each trait shows the EBV, the rank among rams with 4 or more sons is shown below.

Sire summary of Texas ram test performance (1995-2012)

Texas A&M Sire Summary 1995-2012

Sire	Sons	ROM Index	ADG	Staple	Fleece Weight	Fiber Diameter	Fiber CV
T.JONES 8-21	10	-6.3	-.041	-0.11	-0.91	0.08	-0.89
T.JONES 8-21	Rank ->118		126	104	123	79	7
TAES 5034	4	-0.8	-.011	-0.07	-0.42	-0.48	-0.39
TAES 5034	Rank -> 88		89	95	103	26	25
TAES 5501	8	4.3	-.017	0.19	0.77	-0.27	-0.35
TAES 5501	Rank -> 33		107	28	24	42	28
TAES 5678	4	-0.7	-.006	-0.14	-0.17	-0.13	0.59
TAES 5678	Rank -> 86		80	109	87	56	113
TAES 5795	11	4.2	0.021	-0.12	-0.24	-0.71	-0.62
TAES 5795	Rank -> 35		24	107	93	11	16
TAES 6014	7	4.2	0.038	-0.02	-0.32	-0.86	-0.04
TAES 6014	Rank -> 36		4	77	95	4	61
TAES 6090	11	7.7	0.002	0.17	0.50	-0.77	-0.43
TAES 6090	Rank -> 7		67	32	35	5	23
TAES 6099	12	5.9	0.017	0.19	0.71	-0.45	-0.02
TAES 6099	Rank -> 20		36	27	26	28	63
TAES 6439	6	3.0	0.017	-0.06	0.02	-0.40	-0.49
TAES 6439	Rank -> 48		35	92	72	31	21
TAES 6483	5	8.8	0.029	0.10	1.02	-0.26	-0.14
TAES 6483	Rank -> 5		7	42	11	43	49
TAES 6574	4	1.3	0.002	0.03	-0.10	-0.55	0.30
TAES 6574	Rank -> 62		65	62	84	19	98
TAES 6648	6	-0.0	0.003	0.22	-0.00	-0.06	0.71
TAES 6648	Rank -> 78		63	22	73	63	115
TAES 6724	6	-0.7	0.020	-0.10	0.16	0.66	0.33
TAES 6724	Rank -> 85		26	101	58	121	100
TAES 6808	12	6.7	0.004	0.08	0.80	-0.74	0.11
TAES 6808	Rank -> 13		59	48	19	6	82
TAES 6880	6	3.2	0.025	-0.08	0.39	-0.33	0.95
TAES 6880	Rank -> 46		12	98	39	34	124
TAES 6987	27	1.0	0.002	-0.01	-0.35	-0.67	0.05
TAES 6987	Rank -> 64		69	76	98	14	70
TAES 7003	12	-2.3	-.004	-0.18	-0.60	-0.19	0.02
TAES 7003	Rank -> 96		77	120	109	50	66
TAES 7118	16	2.8	0.002	0.02	0.47	0.06	-0.16
TAES 7118	Rank -> 51		66	64	36	78	48
TAES 7171	13	0.4	-.045	-0.09	0.29	-0.28	-1.01
TAES 7171	Rank -> 70		128	100	48	39	5
TAES 7363	14	1.9	-.028	0.24	0.57	-0.25	0.20
TAES 7363	Rank -> 57		119	19	31	44	93
TAES 7570	38	5.3	0.002	0.16	0.86	-0.21	-0.10
TAES 7570	Rank -> 24		68	37	16	48	52
TAES 7610	9	6.2	0.020	0.21	0.58	-0.53	-0.07
TAES 7610	Rank -> 17		25	23	30	21	57
TAES 7693	4	3.4	-.003	0.19	0.71	-0.03	0.02
TAES 7693	Rank -> 44		76	29	25	69	67
TAES 7824	5	4.6	0.008	0.07	0.31	-0.61	-0.19
TAES 7824	Rank -> 31		52	50	46	16	46
TAES 8036	4	2.1	0.015	-0.02	-0.18	-0.61	0.22
TAES 8036	Rank -> 54		38	80	89	17	96

Sires with * following the number of sons, have sons on test this year.
 Each trait shows the EBV, the rank among rams with 4 or more sons is shown below.

Sire summary of Texas ram test performance (1995-2012)

Texas A&M Sire Summary 1995-2012

Sire	Sons	ROM Index	ADG	Staple	Fleece Weight	Fiber Diameter	Fiber CV
TAES 8117	4	1.6	-.005	0.05	-0.01	-0.94	-0.01
TAES 8117	Rank -> 58		78	56	76	2	64
TAES 8287	24	1.6	-.026	0.17	-0.03	-1.09	-0.10
TAES 8287	Rank -> 59		117	35	77	1	53
TAES 8468	5*	6.7	0.017	0.15	0.71	-0.48	-0.05
TAES 8468	Rank -> 14		34	39	27	24	60
TAES 8582	3*	3.2	0.019	0.04	0.19	-0.14	0.04
TAES 8582	Rank -> 47		30	60	57	55	69
TAES 8606	3*	1.9	0.000	-0.13	0.28	-0.29	0.19
TAES 8606	Rank -> 55		72	108	51	37	92
TAES B2202	10	5.0	0.004	-0.04	0.79	-0.08	0.01
TAES B2202	Rank -> 27		58	84	21	61	65
TAES B2365	4	-0.5	0.001	0.09	-0.32	-0.17	0.14
TAES B2365	Rank -> 82		70	43	96	53	88
TAES B2452	7	0.9	-.019	0.19	-0.03	-0.46	-0.53
TAES B2452	Rank -> 66		108	26	79	27	19
TAES B2863	7	7.0	-.016	0.31	1.49	0.12	-0.75
TAES B2863	Rank -> 11		106	11	7	84	8
TAES B2888	25	5.7	-.022	0.29	0.78	-0.48	-1.12
TAES B2888	Rank -> 22		114	15	23	25	3
TAES B3167	16	7.5	0.006	0.23	0.79	-0.74	-0.27
TAES B3167	Rank -> 8		54	20	22	7	34
TAES B3252	22	6.0	0.021	0.26	0.29	-0.71	-0.19
TAES B3252	Rank -> 19		23	17	47	10	45
TAES B3403	12	4.0	-.002	0.31	0.11	-0.62	-0.74
TAES B3403	Rank -> 39		75	12	63	15	9
TLB	4	-4.9	-.033	0.06	-0.85	-0.44	-0.28
TLB	Rank ->109		122	54	122	30	31
TRSG 1008	7	-0.6	-.007	0.06	-0.01	0.04	0.14
TRSG 1008	Rank -> 84		83	55	74	76	87
TRSG 1223	5	-0.9	-.014	0.01	0.02	0.08	-0.20
TRSG 1223	Rank -> 90		102	72	69	80	43
TRSG 1377	5	0.9	0.024	0.11	0.11	0.32	0.78
TRSG 1377	Rank -> 67		15	40	62	102	117
TRSG 1610	4	2.7	-.013	0.25	0.53	0.17	-1.03
TRSG 1610	Rank -> 52		98	18	32	89	4
TRSG 298	25	0.3	0.015	-0.14	-0.22	0.22	-0.56
TRSG 298	Rank -> 72		39	110	91	93	18
TRSG 310	7	4.7	0.026	0.05	0.63	0.28	-0.05
TRSG 310	Rank -> 29		10	59	29	99	58
TRSG 753	9	-0.4	-.032	0.07	0.23	-0.05	-0.27
TRSG 753	Rank -> 81		120	49	54	65	33
TRSG 773	20	5.2	0.004	0.01	1.00	0.12	-0.40
TRSG 773	Rank -> 25		57	70	12	83	24
TRSG 881	7	1.0	0.009	0.00	0.25	0.17	0.37
TRSG 881	Rank -> 65		49	73	52	88	104
TRSG 902	10	-0.2	-.014	0.28	0.33	-0.13	0.81
TRSG 902	Rank -> 79		100	16	43	57	118
TRSG 953	8	-2.9	-.020	-0.08	0.20	0.42	0.57
TRSG 953	Rank ->100		110	97	56	109	111

Sires with * following the number of sons, have sons on test this year.
 Each trait shows the EBV, the rank among rams with 4 or more sons is shown below.

Sire summary of Texas ram test performance (1995-2012)

Texas A&M Sire Summary 1995-2012

Sire	Sons	ROM Index	ADG	Staple	Fleece Weight	Fiber Diameter	Fiber CV
W Fincher 142	5	3.5	0.011	0.06	0.45	-0.06	0.14
W Fincher 142	Rank ->	43	48	53	37	64	89
WB 2233	4	-8.5	-.012	-0.26	-1.11	0.34	0.02
WB 2233	Rank ->	126	93	125	125	106	68
WB 2365	4	-4.3	0.003	-0.14	-0.73	0.36	-0.05
WB 2365	Rank ->	107	61	111	119	107	59
WB 2402	5	-1.6	0.007	0.02	-0.49	-0.29	0.55
WB 2402	Rank ->	93	53	65	106	38	110
WB 2715	5	-7.1	-.009	-0.27	-0.62	0.61	0.34
WB 2715	Rank ->	120	87	128	113	118	103

Sires with * following the number of sons, have sons on test this year.
 Each trait shows the EBV, the rank among rams with 4 or more sons is shown below.